UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,975	01/29/2004	Yoshiyuki Miyagawa	P24672	P24672 2821	
7055	7590 10/02/2007		EXAMINER		
1950 ROLAN	GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			COBURN, CORBETT B	
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3714		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/02/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/765,975	MIYAGAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Corbett B. Coburn	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 December</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. noe except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims	,					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 8 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,9 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the second	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6 Dec 06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

1. Examiner apologizes for the tardiness of this response. Apparently, the Application was lost in the system for almost a year.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9 & 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Deering (US Patent Number 6,313,838).
 - Claims 1 & 7: Deering teaches forming a plurality of frame images constituting the video game sequentially and displaying the plurality of formed frame images by switching the frame images from a frame buffer. Deering teaches predicting formation time periods of said plurality of frame images when said frame images are individually formed. (Col 3, 53-60) Deering teaches determining game progress to be made by said frame images, in dependence upon the formation time periods of said frame images, as predicted. Deering teaches a constant frame rate. Therefore, the game progress is dependent on the amount of time that it takes to form the image (i.e., the frame rate). Deering teaches use of the system in video games. Video games inherently include changing said determined game progress (i.e., character movement rates or direction) in response to an operation input by a player.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,975

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 2 & 7: The predicted formation time periods of said plurality of frame images are expressed in units of a frame image display period of a shortest period of switching

Page 3

display of said frame images - i.e., the frame rate.

Claims 4, 5, 9 & 10: Examiner considers the predetermined clock signal of claims 4, 5,

9 & 10 to refer to the video synchronization signals that are inherent in video monitors.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 6 December 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Applicant argues that Deering mentions video games in only two places. This does not, however, obviate Deering's teaching that the system disclosed is applicable to video games.
- 6. Applicant argues that Deering does not teach determining the game progress to be made based on the predicted image generation rate. Examiner disagrees. In order for a video game to function, the game progress MUST match the image on the screen.
- 7. Take, for example, the case of a character walking down a corridor that turns a corner. Around the corner there is a monster waiting to fight the character. If the game progress and the image displayed are not in sync, the game will be unplayable. If the image generation lags behind the game progress, the character will turn the corner before the image thus being killed by the waiting monster before the player can possibly take actions to avoid this fate. If the game progress exceeds image generation, the character is forever walking into walls that have not been drawn on the screen. There would also be annoying lag time between a player's actions and the appearance of the results on the screen. A player might, for instance, depress a button that

Application/Control Number: 10/765,975

Art Unit: 3714

causes the character to shoot a gun. If the game progress is not in sync with the image, there might be an unacceptable lapse in time between player action and display.

8. Thus Examiner considers it inherent in any successful video game that the game progress be determined by the predicted image generation rate in order to maintain synchronization between game progress and the image displayed.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (571) 272-4447. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,975

Art Unit: 3714

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Corbett B. Coburn/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3714